Approved For Release 2007/07/03 : CIA-RDP88B00443R001203970162-4 **EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT** Routing Slip TO: **ACTION** DATE INITIAL (1 DCI **DDC**I **EXDIR** D/ICS DDI DDA DDO DDS&T Chm/NIC 10 GC IG 12 Compt 13 D/EE0 D/Pers 15 D/OEA 16 C/PAD/OEA SA/IA 18 AO/DCI C/IPD/OIS 20 21 22 SUSPENSE Remarks: Not referred. On-file OSD release instructions

25**X**1

3637 (10-81



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Executive Registry

-25X

Washington, the district of columbi

August 26, 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

We recognize the problems posed by Congress' unjustified additions to the FY 1982 Pay Supplemental Bill. But on national security grounds, we feel we should recommend against a veto.

Mark Hatfield called yesterday to say, clearly and unemotionally, that if the Bill just passed is vetoed there will not be another except perhaps for a new appropriation for pay only. Other sources support that estimate. He also said that if there is a veto his appropriations Committee would not work on any appropriations bills (none have come from the House, and Hatfield says his committee had been planning to start some appropriations bills, including Defense, in the Senate), thus requiring a Continuing Resolution.

The solutions recently worked out to meet the end-of-August military payroll will not extend to the 15 September payday. A payless September for the military would obviously have very bad effects on morale, and would breed distrust of leadership, besides presenting the world with a bizarre spectacle.

In addition, the Supplemental contains your Caribbean Basin Initiative (CBI), as it was presented by you at the Organization of American States. As distinct from military pay, which Congress almost certainly be lost. Those Latin American and Caribbean states which would benefit from the CBI will be shocked by a veto. They we would veto our own program. The reaction in Jamaica, where the victory, is expected to be especially strong.

We agree that there are some bad parts to this bill. However, there is an alternative to a veto. You could announce that, at time, you would submit a recision, under the Impoundment Control act of 1974. This is no guarantee of success on Congress the need for fiscal restraint, and on the public that this course of action is preferable to the national security consequences of a veto.

Not referred. On-file OSD release instructions apply

Caspar W. Weinbergett 70 235 14 .85

George Shultz

